April 14, 2016 Meeting

The regular meeting of the Town Board was held on Thursday April 14, 2016 with the following Members present: Daniel Pemrick, Supervisor, Daniel Cochran, Walter E. Chandler, MaryAnn Johnson and Rick Capasso, Councilmen. Also present were Mark Schachner, Town Counsel, Walter Barss Highway Supt., and 6 residents.

The meeting was called to order and opened with a Pledge to the Flag. On a motion by Chandler, C. and seconded by Capasso,C., the minutes of March 10, 2016 were approved by all Board members. On a motion by Johnson, C., and seconded by Chandler, C., the minutes of April 7th, 2016 were accepted by all Board members.

RECREATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE - Ty Stacey, Chair of the Rec Advisory Committee, presented a Mission statement of the Committee, which would be to provide the Town with a Master Plan that would prioritize long and short term goals. It would involve the Town Parks, Recreation and Community services and other resources such as the Lions Club, Historical Society and Fire Departments to enhance the Greenfield Community. The 6 Town Parks are listed with their short and long term plans for each park. Some ideas are simple. For example, when you enter Brigham Road Park there is a sign listing the trees and plants, but unless you are an Arborist or logger you don't know what you are looking at. Something could be added along the trails so people would know what the different plants are. This is something that could be used at all the Town's Walking Trails. At Brookhaven Park, Mr. Stacey states they recommend following the plan that the Skidmore Marketing Class submitted and use the potential that Brookhaven Park has. Depending on snowfall, it can be used year round. Middle Grove Park has some major things happening, such as re-surfacing the tennis and basketball courts. The drain near the soccer fields need attention and the walking trail is being worked on. He suggested having a clean-up day, using the kids in the adjoining park to help, creating a sense of community and working together. Porter Corners Park- Channon talked about moving the big field back, they recommend moving the Little League fields back and the field that abuts the large field build the fence up 10 -15 feet. When that happens, promote softball, volleyball leagues, creating a sense of Community that we don't see in activities and use of the Park. Then an annual Little League Tournament could be established that would generate some revenue. Veteran's Park could use some flowers and updating, adding a piece of decommissioned military machinery or plaques that would show the conflicts that we have had to go through. Then use the park as educational for the school kids. King's Station Park, Stan Weeks and crew did a great job renovating it after the accident so continue to promote it.

The biggest recommendation they have is to create and establish a Community Advisory Board. Have different sectors of the community come together and organize activities, such as Fireman Days or

April 14, 2016 continued

Lumberjack Days. As a fund-raiser for the Rec. Dept. maybe something like the Tough Mudders, a race through water and mud, these are ideas. That is what they are looking at and the major recommendation is to get that committee together and create a sense of community by establishing events. Steve Schriber has invested a lot of time at Brookhaven Park over the years and is a huge source of information. Maybe the Town could support him and purchase a groomer for the snowshoe and cross county trails. The committee feels that the Town needs to start thinking about a Recreation & Community Center. Ty thanked Lorna Dupoy, Rich Seales, Brian Wagner, Channon Emigh, Karyn Zanetti, Shelly Emery-Pugsley, Steve Schriber and the Skidmore Students. Supervisor Pemrick thanked Mr. Stacey and the Committee for the work they did. Some of the ideas the Town has started to work on, thanks to their previous suggestions. To be clear, for a new committee it may include some of the current members. Mr. Stacey states that the current members are willing to stay on but they feel that there should be a broader range of members from local organizations. Supervisor Pemrick asks if the Recreation Director would be a part of the committee. Mr. Stacey states yes, be it a member, to Chair the Committee, but she could tell what resources the Recreation Dept. has. She could be the liaison back to the Town Board. She would be vital and she would be an integral member of the new Committee that forms. Supervisor Pemrick agrees. Capasso, C., would like to be the Board member for the Committee. Cochran, C., commends Ty for the great job in covering all the facets of recreation. Chandler, C., says that Ty has us going in the right direction. Mr. Stacey sees that we have high end homes and because you cannot find low income housing in Saratoga, people are moving to Greenfield. We are under a lot of pressure in Greenfield with high and low income residents that we need to pull together. Johnson, C., says that a lot of the residents are commuters. We do not have a downtown or shopping area, people do not know their neighbors. The Heritage Days were able to bring neighbors to know each other. Mr. Stacey says that something like that would be nice. There is the Famers Market at Middle Grove Park and maybe the Town Could help by promoting the efforts that are being made by some organizations. Supervisor Pemrick asks the Board if they would like to create a Community advisory Board, consisting of the people that were stated and the Town Board liaison.

RESOLUTION # 55- Establish a Community Recreation Advisory Board

Motion: Chandler, C. Seconded: Cochran, C.

RESOLVED, That the Town Board hereby establishes the Community Recreation Advisory Board to include members of the previous committee and appoint Rick Capasso, C., as liaison to the Committee.

VOTE: Ayes: Pemrick, Cochran, Chandler, Johnson, Capasso

Noes: None

Johnson, C., asks if the Board is going to send a letter to the different organizations about having a representative on the new committee. Mr. Stacey suggests that the Town's Recreation Director could start to reach out to the various organizations. Supervisor Pemrick says that it is an appropriate role for that position.

<u>DISCUSSION WATER TANK FOR THE GREENFIELD FD-</u> Highway Supt. Barss states that he was not at the last meeting, and the Fire Dept. was here asking for a well to go with the water tanks that are being installed. Highway Supt. Barss says that the Highway Dept. is digging the first hole at Middle Grove Fire Dept. It is a large tank, holding 35,000 gallons of water. The water would benefit the Highway 's paving. Last Summer he drew water out of the steams and the sand made the black top stick to the rollers. Depending on the type of roadwork they can go through 6-7 thousand gallons of water a day. To have a tank where he can go a get a quick truck full of water would be a huge benefit. Clean water would not ruin the pumps. Capasso, C., asks that if this is something that he could use. Highway Supt.

April 14, 2016 continued

Barss says yes. If the Town could find the money somewhere to put in a well, even though the Highway Dept. is digging the holes, it would be a great help. The holes are 12 'wide, 12 'deep and 50 'long. Capasso, C., asks what did we figure it would cost us? Highway Supt. Barss said that there were a few estimates, maybe up to \$8,000.00. Capasso, C., says for both? Highway supt. Barss says that is per well, depending on where you are drilling. Supervisor Pemrick asks if the Fire Dept. is planning on doing this anyway. Highway Supt. Barss says yes. Supervisor Pemrick asks Hiway Supt. Barss if the one in Porters would be of more benefit since it's closer. Highway Supt. Barss says yes, it would be more central. Supervisor Pemrick says that right now, he does not know if there is \$6,000.00, but he would not consider it without discussing it with Joan. But when the Highway Supt. sits in front of us and says that it would benefit the community, I want to look into it. Johnson, C., states that Highway Supt. Barss is also saying that it could affect our bills that we get because if we supply water it would be cheaper for us. Highway Supt., Barss says yes with the new process. Before you would need to go and buy water. Supervisor Pemrick asks if there is a deadline. Highway Supt., Barss says he believes that the Fire Dept. plans on filling them with the tankers. Supervisor Pemrick asks that if we took the time to investigate with Joan, it would be OK. The general consensus from the Board is that they support something like this. It will be on the May agenda. Johnson, C., is willing to look at the cost of one as long as we can fund it. We weren't looking at two, correct? Highway Supt., says one for this year and we will look at budget time.

<u>DISCUSSION – INSURANCE CLAIM – BROKEN PIPE</u> – Supervisor Pemrick says that he has the statements from the insurance adjuster. The bill from Quick Response is \$13,841.85 for drying out the offices and looking for wet areas, making sure all is dried out. The building repair is \$19,905.22, LeGere Construction will be doing the work. Considering the size of the event this is reasonable, thanks to Lorraine who stopped in on that Sunday to take work home. The estimate is available to anyone who wants to see it.

<u>UPDATE- BROOKHAVEN OPEN HOUSE-</u> Open House on April 2 was successful and we had some new members join, it was a great turnout. Introduced some of the new people that will be working for the Brookhaven Golf Park. Supervisor Pemrick thanked the Highway for dressing up the outside and taking care of the outside to get it ready.

TRANSPORTATION AGREEMENT- SARATOGA SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT- Town Clerk Okoniewski states that this is an annual agreement with the Saratoga School District to provide 2 busses for the Summer Camp Program and a possible third bus for extra trips. The cost is \$1300.00, plus \$1.82 per mile for fuel and maintenance.

RESOLUTION # 56- Approve 2016 bus Contract for Summer Program

Motion: Cochran, C. Seconded: Capasso, C.

RESOLVED, That the Town Board hereby authorizes the Supervisor to sign the Transportation Rental Agreement with the Saratoga Springs City School District for the 2016 Summer Recreation Program.

VOTE: Ayes: Pemrick, Cochran, Chandler, Johnson, Capasso

NOES: None

<u>RESIGNATION OF CANDACE MERBLER UDAG CHAIR-</u>Letter was received from Candace Merbler that she is resigning from the UDAG committee, a positon she has held for 14 years.

RESOLUTION #57- Accept the Resignation of Candace Merbler from the UDAG Committee

Motion: Cochran, C. Seconded: Johnson, C.

RESOLVED, That the Town Board hereby accepts the resignation of Candace Merbler, recognizing her years of service to the Town of Greenfield.

VOTE: Ayes: Pemrick, Cochran, Chandler, Johnson, Capasso

NOES: None

<u>APPOINT SECOND DOG CONTROL ASSISTANT-</u> Channon Emigh, Dog Control Officer has requested a second Asst. Dog Control Officer to help with daytime calls and delinquent renewals.

RESOLUTON # 58 – Appoint Melanie Bedford Assistant Dog Control Officer.

Motion: Capasso, C. Seconded: Johnson, C.

RESOLVED, That the Town Board hereby appoints Melanie Bedford assistant Dog Control Officer, at the rate of \$35.00 per day, with no budget impact.

VOTE: Ayes: Pemrick, Cochran, Chandler, Johnson, Capsso

NOES: None

<u>LAKE DESOLATION ROAD CLOSURE-</u> Supervisor Pemrick states that he was contacted by area residents that were surprised by the bridge closure. The County is doing some work that is badly needed, and hopes that everyone will be patient.

ZBA REFERRAL – PLANK ROAD – DAVE EVANS- Supervisor Pemrick that the Town has received a referral from the Zoning Board of Appeals for Open Development Approval for Dave Evans on Plank Road. Supervisor Pemrick explains that he would like to review with imput from Town Counsel. It has been recommended to the Town Board through the Building Dept. and is something that Dan Cochran will be considering in the near future, that the Town has generally Open Development throughout the Town, which means that people may be able to develop lots that do not have road frontage. Counsel Schachner explains that the issue arises because New York State Town Law has a provision requiring lots being developed have road frontage on a public highway. Counsel Schachner states that he has not heard of the Town's generic approach, and he will look into that, but the Supervisor has identified the issue correctly. Supervisor Pemrick states that Mr. Evans has received approvals from the Planning and Zoning Boards in July 2015 for the lots as they exist now. Mr. Evans decided that he wants to change those lots, which he has a right to do. The changes that he has made, makes lots with no frontage. Hence, he is in front of this Board seeking the right for Open Development on that property. He has been to the Zoning Board, and they cannot move forward until the Town Board grants Open Development. Cochran, C., asks what the recommendations were from the Zoning Board. Supervisor Pemrick states that the Zoning Board is referring this application to the Town Board for Open Development and review and to please note the concerns expressed by the both the ZBA and Planning Board. They are not very specific in the minutes, but in the last year or two, they have spent a great deal of work giving Mr. Evans exactly what he wanted, and now, here again less than a year all of that has changed, so in essence everyone is starting brand new. That particular issue is unrelated to what we have to deal with tonight. Our decision tonight is not what those lots look like, that's up to the Planning Board and Zoning Board, it is simply whether or not we think that allowing this Town and people in this Town to develop lots without road frontage is appropriate. Counsel Schachner adds that what the law really charges you with doing in looking at Open Development requests is to make sure that you are comfortable that the particular lots in question can be adequately developed and your principal concern

as Town Board members should be from the standpoint of emergency services access, fire access and the like. That should be your primary concern. If you authorize Open Development, the applicant still needs a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals to proceed without public road frontage. Capasso, C., asks that if the Town Board approves this, then the applicant still has to go in front of the Zoning Board. Counsel Schachner states yes and he believes the Planning Board as well for reconfiguration of the subdivision. The point that he is trying to make with what the Supervisor said before about Dan's work on the code amendments, is a generic idea to bless Open Development in Greenfield without requiring public road frontage, he has concerns about that. That does not relate to this particular application, but then when you talk about this application it does sound generic. You need to evaluate when you are comfortable with particular lots being developed. Cochran, C., states that Mr. Evans is familiar with him on the Planning Board for numerous years, and came in with maps every other month, that were very creative, what does Open Development do for us here? What are we trying to get to? We put in Zoning Laws that deal with keyhole lots, we work real hard on the Planning Board to do keyhole lots, with no frontage and a driveway that is 60 feet, and we are OK with that, and he totally understands that from being on the Planning Board that you have acreage in the back, he could deal with that. Chandler, C., asks that didn't we just grant someone a variance, it is available. Counsel Schachner states that it is not a variance, but yes. It's an authorization. Supervisor Pemrick, states that it is not the last step in the process, but a step in the process. Cochran, C., says that when the Planning Board did the keyhole lots everything lined up with Emergency vehicles, etc., Mr. Evans came in front of us at Planning Board, after we worked over the years, we got to a good place in the end, but he is surprised now, what is Open Development and asks Counsel Schachner what that entails. Counsel Schacher states that in his opinion, no one in this room made up the term, it is something of a misnomer, or a misleading term. In this context, what it means you are comfortable as the Town Board with the development of the lot or properties in question, despite the fact that they lack public road frontage. The principal reason that State Law requires public road frontage is for access and that means not only for the owner of the property, but access by emergency. It is often the case that configuration of lots and existing roads is such that even though a lot doesn't have frontage on a public road you can see that there are ways to get to the public road absent frontage, that you are comfortable with or safe enough, remember you are not dealing with individual people but subsequent purchasers of property, whoever is the future residents of the Town of Greenfield. In this context what you are doing is looking out for those future residents and make sure you are comfortable, that despite not having public road frontage, their lot is not located in a manner that would make it unsafe for development. Cochran, C., states that he feels we have a keyhole lot provision in our Town Code, he is not familiar with Open Development, he understands what has been said, but that is why we have keyhole provisions. Mr. Evans has a challenging piece of land, and is sorry that he has a lot of twists and turns, and is not comfortable with Open Development. Johnson, C., states that looking at the map, there are 6 lots that were approved in 2015 have a small right-of -way, now there are 6 lots with no frontage. If we grant this are we giving him access to 6 lots with no frontage? Supervisor Pemrick says that is correct. Johnson, C., says that they would access it only through deeded easements or shared driveways? Supervisor Pemrick states that is what the Planning Board would determine. Cochran, C., asks how that would affect the people that are looking to purchase a lot. Mr. Evans explains that the essential difference is that they can double their frontage. Supervisor Pemrick says that Mr. Evans has a buyer that wants a different shaped lot, they want more acreage, so Mr. Evans is attempting to accommodate them. The Planning Board cannot proceed without Open Development. Johnson, C., says that we have to allow them to proceed with 4-5 lots in the back without frontage, if we decide that we want to that. Mr. Evans says that he is not proposing any physical change in terms of the access ways. The roads are already there,

April 14th, 2016 continued

it's shared driveways by way of necessity. This provision for keyhole lots assumes that driveways are going up the strip of land that connects them to the road, but because of the topography that's impossible. So, the strips of land don't do anything, except for making problems for lot 5, for instance, reducing it's size and that sort of thing. He has a map showing natural barriers against road construction throughout the development. Cochran, C., does not want to see maps. Supervisor Pemrick asks Mr. Evans that the Planning Board has found a way to approve previously, all of your driveways. Mr. Evans says they are fine where they are. Supervisor Pemrick, reiterates that they are fine where they are at and they are going to stay there. Mr. Evans says that's right. Cochran, C., says with all the provisions, Mr. Evans says no physical changes. The agreements for the shared driveways are the same, the only difference is lines on the map. Counsel Schachner asks Mr. Evans if he said that the agreements for the shared driveways are the same. Mr. Evans says yes. Cochran, C., asks Open Developments, what does that do for us. Supervisor Pemrick states that we have done it in the past for other people who did not have frontage. Counsel Schachner advises that you are not bound by having done it in the past to do it again, nor are you bound if you do it now, to do it in the future. You are looking out for the safety of the future residents of the Town of Greenfield by looking at the properties and you are comfortable that despite the lack of public road frontage the lots are suitable for development. In his opinion, the phrase of Open Development is a misnomer, to coin a phrase it would be suitable for development. Johnson, C., asks if all of these driveways are approved by the Fire Department? Nathan Duffney, says that the Planning Board required every 500 feet a pull-off for the fire trucks. Johnson, C., asks Mr. Evans that those shared driveways and conditions are not changing. Mr. Evans says yes. Supervisor Pemrick says once again the question is, do we want to give Mr. Evans the opportunity to appear in front of those Boards. Are we comfortable with granting Open Development as those lots appear in front of you? Capasso, C., says that he does not see a problem. Chandler, C., asks that Mr. Evans the question is lot 5, you want to make it 10 acres, instead of 5, and changed the other lots to accommodate that. And the Planning Board approved those shared driveways. Counsel Schachner, and they required shared access and maintenance agreements which would remain in place. Mr. Evans says yes. Johnson, C., makes the motion to grant the authorization for Open development, with the condition that those shared driveway agreements and the other agreements stay in place that were approved with the 2015 subdivision approval.

RESOLUTION # 59 - Grant Authorization for Open Development to Dave Evans on Plank Road Motion: Johnson, C. Seconded: Capasso, C.

Chandler, C., says that our main concern is safety. These approvals were there and they are not changing. Supervisor Pemrick assumes that the Planning Board would do the exact same thing, if there is something that they see that has been created, they would place that same requirement on it. Counsel Schachner, advises that if the Town Board's motion is adopted, then if they don't do that, then the approval is not valid, because the motion stated that everything has to stay the same. Chandler, C., says that as long as the driveways are not moving, he is fine with it.

RESOLVED, that the Town Board hereby grants authorization for Open Development to G. David Evans, on Plank Road, with the condition that the shared driveway agreements and any other agreements that were approved with the 2015 subdivision approval stay in place. Failure to do so would negate the approval .

VOTE: Ayes: Pemrick, Cochran, Chandler, Johnson, Capasso

Noes: None

STORM WATER MANAGEMENT REPORT- Town Clerk says that she needs to file a completion and filing report of the 2015 MS4 report.

RESOLUTION # 60 – Advertise the Storm Water Management Report

Motion: Cochran, C. Seconded: Chandler, C.

RESOLVED, That the Town Board hereby authorizes the Town Clerk to advertise that the

MS4 report is available and ready for public view in the Town Clerk's Office.

VOTE: Ayes: Pemrick, Cochran, Chandler, Johnson, Capasso

Noes: None

BUILDING PERMIT REQUIREMENTS FOR SOLAR APPLICATIONS- Supervisor Pemrick states that the Board has received a memo from Gerry Mckenna, Town Codes Officer. Cochran, C., states that basically, when he heard from Gerry and the Supervisor that Solar Companies are soliciting people in the Town to put up large units and pay the company every year. Cochran, C., has some Code Language that he would like the Board to read through the new language and within the next week, get a Public Hearing and move quick and not wait until next month. The Solar Panels is a new section that the Board can read and Walt Barss has some road language that he has added to the new Codes. He needs to sit with the Highway Supt., as far as some of the outlines. All of us have to talk in the next week, and if you want to schedule a Public Hearing next week if everyone is happy with the language. Johnson, C., says that we need to have the language in Local Law Form before you can advertise. Supervisor Pemrick asks to clarify the process. Counsel Schachner states that he has one concern and one endorsement. The two items he saw in Gerry's memo, the Building Permit fee be 2 percent of the installation cost as opposed to the current fee of \$100.00., and whether the Town is obligated to return the Building Permit fee when the applicant calls and says never mind. He is not aware of any obligation to return a fee right now. Apparently they ask, and if the Town wants to add something specific that it is not returnable, that is appropriate. But the 2 percent of the installation cost he doesn't understand. The idea of the Building Permit fee is in relationship to the cost of processing the application. Cochran, C., states that he has spoken to Gerry and he is getting 6-7 applications from Solar Companies with the \$100 application fee and then they want the money back. Counsel Schachner asks why do you give the money back? Lorraine Fiorino, Assessor, clarifies that we are processing all the information just to find out that the homeowner never signed up, but to her knowledge they are not returning the fee. Discussion takes place on fees. Supervisor Pemrick says that we need to look over the language. We should put his back in Gerry's hands. Counsel Schachner states that he supports charging a larger amount, you do not need to charge the same for all applications. Cochran, C., proposes a fee for roof mounted versus the ground mounted panels. Roof mounted would be \$300, ground mounted would be \$500, non-refundable. Chandler, C., asks about the applicants. Counsel Schachner states that we can require that no application will be accepted unless accompanied by an owner authorization. Town Board agrees. Lorraine Fiorino states that some towns have adopted this, specifically with Solar City. Supervisor says that Dan Cochran will make some changes, consult with Gerry. Lorraine Fiorino asks that does that mean that the Solar companies will come in with the homeowner, pay the \$100 fee, the homeowner is still under no obligation to sign up. Cochran, C., says that it is non-refundable and it will be more, and you will need a homeowner's letter. Discussion takes place on Planned Unit Developments in regards to Solar. Supervisor Pemrick, says that given the discussion during the 30 minutes of discussions, are we ready for a Public Hearing at the agenda meeting? Cochran, C., says yes let's get it done. Johnson, C., advises that

April 14th, 2016 continued

it has to be to the Town Clerk. It has to be in the paper 10 days before the meeting and has to be to the Town Clerk before the notice goes in the paper needs to be in Local Law form. The notice has to be to the paper by April 25. Set up Public Hearing May 12th at 7:00 PM.

RESOLUTION # 60 – Schedule Public Hearing for Solar Farm Code Language Revisions and Road Specifications.

Motion: Cochran, C. Seconded: Chandler, C.

Johnson, C., states that the Town Clerk needs to have everything by April 28th, because it takes 3-4 days after it is sent in to get it published. Cochran, C., says that he will get it to her. Chandler, C., asks if they want to do that through e-mail or special meeting. Cochran, C., says he will e-mail everybody. Supervisor Pemrick suggests that Mr. Cochran concentrate on the Code Language and consult with Gerry and Mark and get it to the Town Clerk. Counsel Schachner agrees that is better than consulting with the other Board members with e-mail, which would be a separate set of concerns. Supervisor Pemrick reiterates that if the Town Clerk does not receive the proper information and it doesn't get to the paper in time, then we can't hold a Public Hearing. .

RESOLVED, That the Town Board hereby authorizes the Town Clerk to advertise a Public Hearing for Solar Farm Code language revisions, and Road Language Specifications, providing that the Town Clerk has the new language in proper form and in time to advertise in the paper.

VOTE: Ayes: Pemrick, Cochran, Chandler, Johnson, Capasso

Noes: None

DISCUSSION SOLAR EXEMPTIONS- Lorraine Fiorino, Town Assessor, presented the Board with a packet that explains that the exemption is equal to the increase of the assessed value of the property that is attributed to the solar energy system. This is a 15 year exemption. The Town has the option to opt-out. The packet explains how the Town can opt out if they want. It's a 15 year exemption, that the Town would not receive any revenue for 15 years. How does that benefit the Town if someone comes in and puts 30 acres of solar panels in. She also explains that if these are exempted, then the tax burden is spread to the other taxpayers. Supervisor Pemrick asks if other Towns are opting out. Assessor Fiorino says that almost every Town in Washington County has opted out. Right now we are not assessing residential, and you can't opt out of just Commercial or Residential, it's all in or all out. She just wanted to bring it to our attention. Supervisor Pemrick thanks her for bringing it to the Board's attention.

<u>SURPLUS HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT-</u> Highway Supt. Barss says that he would like to Surplus 2010 Ford Pickup.

RESOLUTION #61 – Surplus 2010 Ford Pickup truck.

Motion: Johnson, C. Seconded: Chandler, C.

RESOLVED, That the Town Board hereby authorizes the Highway Superintendent to surplus the 2010 Ford Pickup Truck and put it on the website.

VOTE: Ayes: Pemrick, Cochran, Chandler, Johnson, Capasso

NOES: None

<u>COMMITTEE REPORTS</u>- Chandler, C., states that the Fire Company that if the Town would put an AED (Automated External Difibrillator) at Brookhaven, they would train the employees. The Town Board welcomes the idea. Supervisor Pemrick, asks where we can get one. Chandler, C., says that online you

can get one for \$1600. Different companies are carrying them in vehicles. The Fire Company is offering to train all employees. If we want to put one in the garage or anywhere else, the Fire Company will train. The Town will look into purchasing an AED for the Golf Course.

MONTHLY REPORTS- Monthly reports were submitted by the Town Clerk, Parks Dept., Dog Control, February and March Reports from the Town Court, Highway Dept., March Supervisor's report, 2015 AUD Financial report, and UDAG Report.

ASSESSOR'S REPORT- Lorraine Fiorino, Assessor, states that the Town is at 100% equalization rate for the third year in a row. All new homeowners will now will need to apply through the State for STAR. Existing applicants will be handled through the Assessor's Office. This is all new and when she receives more information she will make it available. If you are a new homeowner, you will apply through the State and will receive a check that you will pay taxes on. Johnson, C., questions that then nothing will come off the School Taxes. The Assessor says yes. Johnson, C., says then the homeowners will be charged the full value of the property for the School Taxes. That will mess up the tax codes, because some of the properties will have a full

assessment and others will have the STAR exemption. The Assessor states yes and will give more information when it becomes available.

RESOLUTION # 62- Transfer of Funds

Motion: Chandler, C. Seconded: Capasso, C.

RESOLVED, The Supervisor be authorized to make the following transfer of funds:

\$28,546.88 From: A1990.4 to A7140.2

VOTE: Ayes: Pemrick, Cochran, Chandler, Johnson, Capasso

NOES: None

RESOLTUION # 63- General Bills

Motion: Chandler, C. Seconded: Johnson, C.

RESOLVED, That the General Bills from # 165 to # 228 in the amount of \$64,801.27 be paid ,subject to audit.

VOTE: Ayes: Pemrick, Cochran, Chandler, Johnson, Capasso

NOES: None

RESOLUTION # 64- Highway Bills

Motion: chandler, C. Seconded: Capasso, C.

RESOLVED, That the Highway Bills from #57 to #80 in the amount of \$83,788.16 be paid, subject to audit.

VOTE: Ayes: Pemrick, Cochran, chandler, Johnson, Capasso

Noes: None

RESOLUTION #65 – Brookhaven Golf Park

Motion: Capasso, C. Seconded: Chandler, C.

April 14th, 2016 continued

RESOLVED, that the Brookhaven bills from # 29 to #63 in the amount of \$12,782.84 be paid, subject to audit.

VOTE: Ayes: Pemrick, Cochran, Chandler, Johnson, Capasso

NOES: None

On a motion by chandler, C. and seconded by Capasso, C. the meeting was adjourned at 9:15 PM.

