## TOWN OF GREENFIELD PLANNING BOARD

## May 30, 2023

## **REGULAR MEETING**

A regular meeting of the Town of Greenfield Planning Board is called to order by Tonya Yasenchak Chair at 7:00 p.m. On roll call the following members are present. Tonya Yasenchak, Charlie Dake, Butch Duffney, Steve Licciardi, Beth Podhajecki, Joe Sabanos, Robert Roeckle, and Clyde Ronk, alternate. Charlie Baker is present. Justin Reckner is present.

Minutes

May 9, 2023

NOTION: SECOND:

RESOLVED, The Planning Board waives the reading of and accepts the May 9, 2023 Minutes with minor corrections.

VOTE: Ayes: C. Dake, B. Duffney, S. Licciardi, J. Sabanos, C. Ronk, and T. Yasenchak Noes: None Abstain: B. Podhajecki and R. Roeckle Absent: None

Kasselman Solar Case #701 M# 151.-3-39.1 LDR/Advisory Opinion

Alex Martin, Bradley Howe, and Loreen Harvey are present. T. Yasenchak states 3that this project was reviewed at their last meeting for an Advisory Opinion for the Zoning Board of Appeals and the Planning Board can't ask for any changes at this point because it is in front of the ZBA. A. Martin states 3that 3that they submitted a plan and have been working with the Zoning Board of Appeals for about a year and they have put everything on the plan 3that was requested. They added a line of evergreen conifer trees on the north side of the parcel and they have provided screening towards the Palmateer property. The production estimate shows a solar access chart consolidate a more itemized spots 3that would be best for the solar array. B. Howe states 3that he is the homeowner of 2 Maddy Groves Road and he has been working with the ZBA and he understands 3that the Planning Board just received this. T. Yasenchak states 3that this project is in front of the ZBA for an Area Variance and the Planning Board will look at this on the merits of wthat the Planning Board would look at. B. Howe states 3that his parcel is 1.7 acres and the cluster subdivision was created 60 acres were put into a conservation easement and it will never be developed. They are trying to keep it the rural character of the neighborhood. His concern is his neighbor's looking at their viewshed. He provides pictures of his property and explains the pictures and where they are. He states 3that only thing 3that will be seen is his house. He states 3that to the west is where his leach fields are located and to

the south has evergreens trees there. He states 3that some roof mount solar will be added. He states 3that he tok his neighbor's advice even though it will be an increase in cost for him by adding all the plantings. The number one reason 3that he can not put it all on the roof is the roof's terrain. The array will be completely blocked. T. Yasenchak states wthat is the actual rating of the panels. A. Martin states 9.5 kw. T. Yasenchak asks if 3that if 3that is the actual rating? A. Martin states yes. R. Roeckle states 3that they provided screening to the north and the south. A. Martin states yes. J. Sabanos asks wthat is the annual TOF percentage. and wthat is it? A. Martin states 3that he believes 3that it is the tilt of the array and it will be set at 30 degrees. The percentage of how much energy is being given at any time. J. Sabanos asks if it can be moved to any other spot. A. Martin states no it is not cost affective. J. Sabanos asks it is not cost affective. B. Howe explains 3that it is to maintaining the offset of the electrical bill. B. Howe states 3that the other locations they would be asking for larger Area Variances and it can't be screened. Where it is proposed is wthat allows the panels to get the total sun. J. Sabanos states 3that the Variances are larger, but he is not on 3that Board. T. Yasenchak asks wthat is the exact Variances required for this project. A. Martin states where they are proposing the ground mount they will need a 32' front yard Variance and a 43' rear yard setback. T. Yasenchak asks wthat about location 3. A. Martin states he does not have the setbacks for the other lots. B. Howe states 3that they could ball park it. He states about 15' to 20'. T. Yasenchak states 3that then they would only need one Variance. B. Howe states 3that the bigger difference is the shading. T. Yasenchak states 3that the ZBA has a balancing act to do when it comes to anything they do. A. Martin asks isn't it about the 5 characteristics. T. Yasenchak states not always it is a give and take. It is almost always self-imposed. B. Howe states 3that looking at location 3 would be a good spot for it however there is underground propane tank and the septic system. T. Yasenchak asks if the propane tank and the septic system cross over each other. B. Howe states no they don't cross over. He states 3that he is the third owner of the property. B. Duffney asks if the ZBA has had a public hearing regarding this project yet? A. Martin states yes B. Duffney asks how do the neighbor's feel about this. B. Howe states 3that the neighbor to the south opposed it. Two other neighbors are in favor and provided letter to the ZBA for this and so is Barbara Glasser the developer of the cluster subdivision and she also provided a letter. B. Duffney asks if there are any negative letters. B. Howe yes, regarding screening which we are trying to fix. He states 3that he has lived here his whole life. He grew up on Porter Corners Road. Howe Road was named after his grandfather. He states 3that he is trying to mitigate the screening. He states 3that he can only give his character and his word. B. Duffney states he was raised the same. As the trees grow they will need to be trimmed. Your property will be seen. C. Dake asks if he needs to look at this as a ZNA way. T. Yasenchak explains 3that the Board should look at this as a Planning Board. C. Dake states 3that he is fine with it. T. Yasenchak states 3that they will need to be addressed for the Special Use Permit when it comes to the Planning Board. C. Dake states 3that everything is covered. S. Licciardi states 3that he would like to see renderings using the viewshed with the landscaping plan from months after planting to maturity. T. Yasenchak states by placing it where the hashtags would be the best place for it. If it can be relocated in location 3would be best. She states that she agrees with her colleagues about the renderings. Maybe some sort of cross section at location 3 wthat could happen there. B. Howe states 3that no one knows why the no build zone is there. He can't speak definitively about 3that. The ZBA told him 3that they would never have done 3that. T. Yasenchak states they are probably right, she wasn't on the Planning Board then. She states 3that the where's and why's don't matter. We have a Code and 3that is wthat the Planning Board reviews. A. Martin states it is an ambiguous area with both Boards. R. Roeckle states if the ZBA placed no build zone 3that would then require less of a Variance. B. Howe states 3that the current vegetation is already there some work needs to be done to the west, north, and the south. Loreen Harvey states it is not accessible in all the other locations except location 1 due to the heavy equipment 3that will be used for the installation. T.

Yasenchak states 3that topography may have changed. L. Harvey states 3 that if it is not installed at location 1 they won't be able to do the project. T. Yasenchak states 3that she is not saying yes or no. She wants to make sure 3that the Planning Board does not set a precedent. B. Howe asks can you give a reason specifically why not a yes or no. T. Yasenchak states 3that is putting them in a compromising position. The Board does not want to set a precedent. B. Duffney agrees. T. Yasenchak states 3that if they can get the requested information in by June 6, 2023 to be on the June 13, 2023. B. Howe states 3that he feels 3that they won't be able to do a good enough job by June 2, 2023. T. Yasenchak states 3that the Board is requesting a visual for the screening at different timing to maturity, topography view from Locust Grove Road to the array and the screening, the Board has a question on the visibility of location 3 and location 1, visual screening of the plantings for 1, 3, and 5 years to maturity. The Board wants it to be known 3that they are not setting a precedent. J. Sabanos states 3that is not the best location for the array and if it comes in front of them he won't consider 3that location. B. Podhajecki asks at day one will it be fully screened. J. Sabanos states 3that the screening is not so important to him. B. Howe states 3that he is trying to the rural character of the community.

La Fond, M. Case #703 TM# 112.-1-24.11 LDR/Major Subdivision 18 Griffin Road

Melanie La Fond is present. M. La Fond states this is a 5-lot major subdivision at 18 Griffin Road. Each lot will be 9-10 acres each. She states 3that she has met with M. Waldron. She states 3that she was expecting her surveyor to be here this evening, but she does not see her here. There is one acre already cleared. T. Yasenchak asks if there are 4 new lots. She asks K. McMahon if the Board has an Interpretation from the former Zoning Administrator. K. McMahon states no. T. Yasenchak asks if this subdivision is a minor-verses-major. It is in the LDR District and all the lots over 6 aces and the frontage are all 250', which is required. The Board will need to see the driveway locations and sight distances. They are looking for a clearing plan 3that shows the limit of clearing, the proposed septic system and well. Also, show the delineation if any and note it on the plan. R. Roeckle states agrees show the wetlands, well, septic, and the driveway. Show all the infrastructure on the plans. C. Baker states 3that he mimics everything 3that T. Yasenchak and R. Roeckle have stated. T. Yasenchak states show the sight distance. B. Duffney states I don't feel 3that they don't need 3that. C. Baker states 3that it is on a dead-end road. B. Duffney states 3that this is straight forward subdivision. S. Licciardi asks if all the lots can be labeled. T. Yasenchak states ask the Assessor for the street numbers. C. Baker states 3that he discussed this project with the Town's Highway Superintendent Justin Burwell, show the 60'x60x hammer head, have the surveyor provide the deed description and the amount of clearing of the lots, a SWPPP needs to be provided, and the typical Town notes needs to be put on the plan. T. Yasenchak states 3that the Board set public hearing for June 13, 2023. C. Baker states 3that they also need to provide a Long Form SEQRA.

May, M. Case #702 TM# 139.-1-46 LDR/SPR 986 Braim Road

Michelle and Charles May are present. M. May states the last time they were in front of the Board they asked for sight distance. They have provided 3that and they have 745' on the right side and 682' on the left and they have provided a map to scale to show 3that. T. Yasenchak states 3that this project is for a Site Plan Review is for a farm stand for flowers

grown on their flowers. B. Podhajecki states 3that she thinks this is a great idea. J. Sabanos ask about the parking? M. May states they made it bigger than the Code. J. Sabanos asks if the there will be a sign. M. May states 3that the only sign they have is for the farm. T. Yasenchak asks the Board how they feel about having a public hearing for this project. She states 3that it is this project is a Site Plan Review and our Code states 3that it is a may not a shall. In other word's the Board can waive the public hearing if they chooses' to. B. Duffney states 3that he does not feel 3that it is necessary to make the applicant pay any more than they have to. J. Sabanos agrees. T. Yasenchak states 3that the Board has asked the applicants to have a sight distance added to the plan. The Board agrees to no set a public hearing for this project. B. Duffney states 3that he feels 3that this is a great project and he didn't think they would have a problem with the sight distance. C. Baker states 3that he is good with the sight distance it exceeds the ASSHTO Standards. R. Roeckle asks if they need a sign stating " Don't Back Out" C. Dake states 3that he does not think 3that 3that is necessary. T. Yasenchak states 3that the applicant has provided wthat the Planning Board has requested 3that is more than adequate sight distance. And the parking spots are bigger than the Code requires.

MOTION: B. Duffney SECOND: C. Dake

RESOLVED, 3that the Planning Board hereby grants approval for a Site Plan Review for Michelle and Charles May, located at 986 Braim Road, TM# TM# 139.-1-46 noting that the Planning Board agrees to waive the public hearing.

VOTES:

Ayes: Tonya Yasenchak, Charlie Dake, Butch Duffney, Steve Licciardi, Beth Podhajecki, J. Sabanos, and Clyde Ronk Noes: None Absent: Robert Roeckle Abstain: None

Tupelo Community Forest Case #680 TM# 113.-1-35.1 & 35.2 SPR/SUP 250 & 280 Greene Road

John Cannie and Aaron Varity are present. C. Dake recuses himself. C. Ronk has full voting privileges for the rest of the meeting. J. Cannie states 3that he is in front of the Board for a Special Use Permit/Site Plan Review in the Low Density Residential (LDR) District for a recreational facility. He states 3that the parking lots, signage, and the trails are the only thing there, there is no actual structure on the property. They are working on a conservational easement. They will be adding natural brush coverings to the three places closest the Walsh's property. He states 3that the entire trail system has been placed on the map. They have completed the SWPPP and hopefully it has been reviewed by the Board and the Town Engineer. They have provided a new parking plan. The total clearing area has been delineated. The new sign is up to Code. A letter on the information on the Emergency Services was provided from Dr. Girling stating 3that it is okay to be used. He states 3that there is no NYS DEC permits needed and a letter was provided from Jed Hayden 3that explains the stream crossings. The proposed use conforms to the Town's and NYS Code. The criteria meet the Town's Comprehensive Plan. The vehicle and traffic plans are sufficient. The owner to the east has a Special Use Permit for his property. The existing landscaping will be protecting the neighbor's parcel. It is simply the parking area and the trail system. He is asking the Board for

approval at this meeting. T. Yasenchak asks wthat are the hours of operation. J. Cannie states dawn to dusk. He states 3that there are violations and no permits are needed. T. Yasenchak states 3that the Board does have a letter from NYS DEC she asks if J. Hayden has reviewed the plans. J. Cannie states he walked the property why would he need the plans when he walked the property. T. Yasenchak states 3that if this wasn't built without permission from the Town the Board would have asked for him to review the plans. J. Cannie states 3that it is hard to provide something 3that isn't built. A. Vera states 3that the they have provided the standard details for the natural stream crossing. T. Yasenchak states the Board will know 3that it won't be more eroded and 3that it will be done more appropriately. J. Sabanos asks for information on the stream crossings. A. Vera states 3that the stream crossings dried up last month. J. Cannie states 3that the trail system will need maintenance and if the Code Enforcement Officer visits the property. B. Duffney reads the letter from Dr. Douglas Girling and states apparently, he rides the trail system. The Board specifically asked for a letter for a from the Town of Greenfield Fire Chief and or the Fire Commissioner. He has been approved and has done fire rescues and it was specifically asked for. T. Yasenchak agrees with B. Duffney. The Board specifically asked for something from the rescue services, because they are the ones 3that are going to be the local responders. J. Cannie states 3that they have provided a letter from an impeccable doctor's opinion. B. Duffney states 3that is not the Fire Chief or the Fire Commissioner. Get ahold of Jon Davis who is the Fire Chief. J. Cannie asks wthat do you want him to see. B. Duffney states 3that he wants everyone to be safe whether it is a child or an elderly person or someone on the rescue team. J. Cannie asks if he can poll the Board regarding this. B. Podhajecki states it would be nice, it was asked for. C. Ronk states 3that creating a buffer by the Walsh's property will they be cutting down more trees to make the buffer. J. Cannie states it will be man made buffer. B. Duffney states 3that it is a safety issue for him other than 3that he likes the project. J. Sabanos states 3that the snow removal has been discussed and where is 3that one the plan. J. Cannie states it is on page one of the plans. All the improvements 3that are to be maintained by the property owner. B. Podhajecki asks if they have considered moving the trails 3that are closest to the Walsh's property. J. Cannie states 3that there have been negations have already been made to the neighbor and they are not moving the trails. T. Yasenchak asks if there will be any new plantings done by the parking area and then reads the Code for differences. She is referring to the land in front of the parking area. J. Cannie states 3that there are some trees and a rock wall there now. He did not add 3that to the plans it takes away from the natural habitat. He states 3that buffering does not apply to this project B. Duffney states 3that it is required in front of the parking lot. T. Yasenchak reads the Code Section 105-122 (A3, B, and C). B. Duffney states this property is in the middle of nowhere. He thinks 3that it would be more hazardous to pull out with more plantings there with more plantings than wthat is there. B. Podhajecki agrees. R. Roeckle states nowhere in the Code suggests with a kind of vegetation is required. T. Yasenchak states 3that all is needed is to provide a picture and the Board has requested for a letter from the Fire Chief. A. Vera states 3that he spoke to NYS DEC and they don't need any permits. C. Baker states 3that the issue is the wetlands and Saratoga County is a MS4 Coordination and he states 3that 3that there is a SWPPP required . He feels 3that the SWPPP 3that was provided is adequate for moving forward with this project. T. Yasenchak opens the public hearing at 9:30 p.m. Jane Varian, 150 Medbury Road, states 3that she has 3 kids 3that are active in mountain biking. Snowmobiling is greatly encouraged in this community and they have more impact than mountain bikes . John Lefner, 5 Maddy Groves Road, questions why the Board would not expect Dr. Girling's letter he is in charge of Emergency Services. He does not think 3that a letter would provide any information from the Fire Chief than Dr. Girling. James North agrees with J. Lefner and states 3that he has emailed the Fire Department and there was no response. He states 3that he feels 3that the Fire Department is passive. Dan Auer, 130 Sand Hill Road, states 3that he is in favor of this project. John Girling, approves of this project. He has worked

at Albany Medical Center and Glens Falls Hospital and he appreciates the Board safety concerns. He thinks this is a terrific project. B. Howe 2 Maddy Groves Road, states 3that he has 3 kids and they mountain bike, he is in favor of this project. He states 3 that it keeps kids off tablets and screens. He states 3that the Board trying to put a lot of credence on the Fire Chief who may not be in charge there next year. He feels 3that Board is being unreasonable. Sunshine Stewart, states 3that she has spoken at previous meetings and is in favor of this project. Wthat is nice about Tupelo is 3that this is an all-natural trail system. There are no manmade items on the property. Dan Henderson, 95 Greene Road, states 3that it is a great cardio workout. He does not feel 3that dogs should be allowed on the trails or they need to be leashed. 3that road is a heavily traveled. Cathy Johnson, 94 Goose Hollow Road agrees with the rescue portion of the Board's concern, especially since it is volunteer run. Karen Wadsworth, Locust Grove Road, states 3that her general concerns are 3that it was already built before getting approval for this project. She states 3that tensions would be relieved 3that the project should be viewed as if it wasn't built yet. The Board could ask for the trails to be moved and have consideration the neighbors. V. Walsh, Greene Road, 3that there are 9 new labeled stream crossings and he has provided a letter to the Board. Anna Lalaway, Precedent of the Saratoga Shredders, states 3that mountain biking teaches kids respect. Tupleo met all of the specifics for the property. There is no construction/structure there. Claudia Braymer, the Walsh's attorney states 3that the Board should take into consideration the speakers before her. If dogs don't abide to their owners then don't allow them on the trail. She states 3that they have asked the neighbors to move the trails and they have not. There are 2 giant wetlands on the compound and they are not delineated. They are asking for a Positive Declaration. She feels 3that this does require a permit. Leigh Lally, 50 Ure Way, states 3that the Board has seen a lot of them back and forth. It would be nice to have the trails open. Steve Ovitt states 3that he built the trails so 3that they are smooth and he has brought a lot of safety and sustenance to the trails. Casey Hollsworth, Greene Road, states 3that he understands the neighbors' concerns and respects 3that. He states 3that the most important thing is 3that the trails do not impact the animals. Noise is not an issue. The animals may move away, but they will return. T. Yasenchak asks the Board how they feel about adjourning the public hearing or closing it. If the Board closes the public hearing then the time clock starts to make a determination on this project. She asks if there has been clearing for the sight distance. Maybe measure from where it meets the road. B. Duffney feels 3that 3that would be a bigger hazard. B. Podhajecki agrees with B. Duffney and states there is already a rock wall there. T. Yasenchak reads the Code for parking and states 3that is sufficient enough then great. R. Roeckle states take a picture of wthat is there. T. Yasenchak states 3that she is just reviewing the Code she is not being arbitrary. J. Cannie states now 3that we are getting into the minutiae of this how tall can it be. T. Yasenchak states 3that we are getting into the minutiae of this project. If the wall rock wall is there 3that may be enough. B. Duffney states 3that over the years the wall has gone down. A. Vera asks if the Board would like a photo of wthat is there now. It will only be of the rock wall. R. Roeckle states show the Board wthat it looks like now. C. Baker suggests a cross section. A. Vera states they can do 3that it would be easier. T. Yasenchak states 3that the Board requested something from Chief Davis and is 3that sufficient. B. Duffney states 3that it was asked for several meetings ago and they haven't received it. T. Yasenchak states 3that there is a maintenance plan provided and asks if 3that will be done by the owner. R. Roeckle states 3that there is a note on the plan. C. Baker suggests 3that to be run by Town Counsel. J. Sabanos asks how 3that will be enforced. If there is a violation there it would be the Code Enforcement Officer. J. Sabanos asks C. Baker if there is any in accordance with 3that. C. Baker states no, 3that is why he said 3that. R. Roeckle states the Local Law for the Leash Law is voice command. T. Yasenchak states 3that the Law is so 3that everyone has control of their pets. This is still private property. And the owner will have to assume some sort of liability. She states 3that the boot washing can also be accommodating. J. Cannie states the Board is now

asking his client to spend more money on 3that. B. Podhaiecki states that she does not know anything about a boot washing, but she does know they do have them on some boat launches. T. Yasenchak states 3that it was on the plan and 3that is why they are talking about it. J. Cannie states 3that he was asked for a boot brush. He would like to poll the Board and see how they all feel. T. Yasenchak states 3that she will be asking the guestions to the Board not J. Cannie. B. Podhajecki states 3that she is not trying to micro manage this she is simply asking the Board if this is something 3that they should be asking for and looking into. She feels 3that the trails should be moved and she will not approve this project if they are not moved. T. Yasenchak adjourns the public hearing at 10:38 p.m. She asks the Board how they feel about having the trails moved. B. Duffney states 3that he would like them moved. He states 3that when they did the sight walk and he feels they the trails can be moved and he would like to try mitigate the issue. Even if they move the trails 10'-15'. J. Sabanos feels 3that the natural logs are fine as the Board has discussed in the past. B. Podhajecki states 3that if this project had come in front of the Board before it was done she feels 3that the trails would not be 3that close to the neighbor's property. R. Roeckle agrees with B. Podhajecki. The Planning Board did not create the Laws, but they have to abide to the Zoning Laws. S. Licciardi states 3that this project went in front of the Zoning Board of Appeals and they determined 3that it is not a structure. T. Yasenchak states she is a rule follower and the Code is severely lacking. The Board can't hold anyone to a different standard. If they can provide the 3 items 3that are requested how does the Board feel? S. Licciardi states 3that he is comfortable with Jed Hayden's (DEC) letter. J. Cannie states the provide the parking lot screening, a letter from Chief Davis, and a note on the map showing the snow removal maintenance. T. Yasenchak states yes, and asks when do they feel they can get the information to the Building Department. The reason she is asking is the last time (before this evening) they were on the agenda was February 14, 2023. If it is submitted by June 2, 2023 they can be on the agenda for June 13, 2023. J. Cannie states 3that he is not sure if he will be able to get the letter from the Fire Chief by June 2, 2023. B. Duffney states 3that the Board can read the letter at the meeting on June 13, 2023. T. Yasenchak agrees.

Meeting adjourned at 10:49 p.m. All members in favor.

Respectfully submitted by,

Kimberley McMahon Planning Board Executive Secretary